

1st African National.
From The Office of The Chief Executive Officer.
855 Kalamazoo Ave. S.E.
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49507-1379.
616-350-5709.



U.S. District Court.
For the Western District of Michigan.
Southern Division.
USD CASE NO.: 1:21-CV-00078-JTN-PJG.
TO: THE HONORABLE JANET T. NEFF P-18210.
401 Federal Building.
110 Michigan Ave NW
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503.
616-456-6774.

OBJECTION AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.

IN RE: STACEY R SMITH

USDC: 1:21-CV-00078-JTN-PJG.

V.

} OBJECTION AND JURY DEMAND.

DUAL FILING (INGHAM COUNTY).

MCL 600.1701 & 4401; MCR 3.302 & 3.305.

BRIDGET M. MC CORMACK.

GEORGE S. BUTH

CHRISTOPHER R. BECKER

RULE 2.3 (e).

MARK A. TRUSOCK

DEFENSE COUNSEL JOHN R. BEASON.

IN RE: CONTEMPT PROCEEDING 55TH DISTRICT COURT (INGHAM COUNTY).

USD CASE NO.: 1:21-CV-00078.

LOC RULE 2.3 (e) – JANET NEFF.

1. Discipline for unprofessional and improper conduct - If it appears to a Judge of this court that an attorney practicing before the court has violated the rules of professional conduct or is guilty of other conduct unbecoming an officer of the court, any judge may: 1) refer the matter to the Chief Judge of the district who shall determine whether the attorney should be disciplined or alternatively refer the matter to a three-judge panel or 2) for discipline, except suspension or disbarment, order an attorney to show cause—within a specified time—why the court should not discipline the attorney. Upon the expiration of the period specified or upon the attorney's response to the show cause order, the court will enter an appropriate order. Upon the entry of an order for discipline, the attorney may seek review from the Chief Judge of the order for discipline. Alternatively, the Chief Judge may refer the matter to a three-judge panel for decision.
2. Discipline for contempt - Disbarment from the court may be utilized as a sanction NOT LESS TO MCR 6.201 (J) for contempt of court under the procedures contained in Rule 42 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Nothing in this rule shall limit the court's power to punish contempt.

The Honorable Magistrate Judge Phillip Green is aware that this is an action for a BREACH IN THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT SENTENCING PLEA AGREEMENT; to which the 17TH Judicial Circuit Court transcript has been entered into evidence and the Affidavit from FEDEX AIR which clearly proves to be a Breach in the Plea Agreement.. Your Honor, how do you understand a **BREACH OF CONTRACT. A Breach of Contract is a Breach of Contract.**

The reason I have brought this action to Federal Court is of the Amount of relief since the State has ignored **ITS OWN BREACH OF CONTRACT.** Why would I not bring this to the Federal Courts for a Transfer of Superintending Control or Writ of Mandamus when this obvious and intentional infringement remains in force unless the Federal Jurisdiction as I understood it is handed down to the State to enforce your honor? How have we gotten away from this your honor?

You remark my pleadings as unintelligible – which is your way of circumventing your OATH to remain respectful and actually call me (Unintelligent and submitting frivolous pleadings just because I am not a Professional Counselor yet alone being a black African American, giving yourself automatic CLEARANCE TO NOT HAVE ANY REQUIREMENT TO TAKE MY PLEADINGS SERIOUSLY WHEN I AM TRYING TO FIGHT FOR MY LIFE FOR THE PAST 5 YEARS!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Judicial misconduct has been proven by the adoption of USD CASE NO.: 1:16-CV-1381 under Subject-Matter Jurisdiction or Superintending Control. ADOPTED IN PART.

The plaintiff maintains its original request for relief and demand a jury trial.

Respectfully submitted:

/s/ Mr. Stacey R. Smith – ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE.